



141	Llero
	_

LAMBA " TATO CITAL INICIDADE DISTRICT

City Unified

School District

BOARD OF EDUCATION

Agenda Item 8.1.h

Meeting Date: January 21, 2016

Subject: Approve Minutes of the December 10, 2015, Board of Education Meeting

Information Item Only
Approval on Consent Agenda
Conference (for discussion only)
Conference/First Reading (Action Anticipated
Conference/Action
Action
Public Hearing

Division: Superintendent's Office

Recommendation: Approve Minutes of the December 10, 2015, Board of Education Meeting.

Background/Rationale: None

Financial iderations: None

LCAP Goal(s): Family and Community Engagement


Docu Attached:

1. Minutes of the December 10, 2015, Board of Education Regular Meeting




Sacramento City Unified School District BOARD OF EDUCATION MEETING AND WORKSHOP

Roard of Education Members	Thrusday Dagarahar 10 2015
·	
•	
10 No.	<u> </u>
<u></u>	
	·
Marine and the second s	
in the second se	<i>l</i> ,
	,
h	
<i>p</i>	
F	
	<u> </u>
<i>y · · ·</i>	
1	
·	
<u> </u>	
	,
<u> </u>	
<u> </u>	· ·



President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cachrane Diana Rodriguez	0.0 CALL BACK TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez	*'&V.75^ :	,
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez	ALACTER R	
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		1
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez	,	,
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez	_	
President Darrel Woo Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez	,	ļ
Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez	V	
Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez	2-	
Vice President Christina Pritchett Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
Second Vice President Jay Hansen Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez	President Darrel Woo	
Gustavo Arroyo Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
Ellen Cochrane Diana Rodriguez		
Diana Rodriguez	Ellen Cochrane	
	Diana Rodriguez	
Ingrin Dry		

<i>i</i> .	D. 1.J: - C	
	y .	
r		
_	Nion Winmanualanmad Dunaidant Duitabatt and nated that it has been a long time since there	, laga
	Plan Harminguniannead Huandant Dustalant and lantal that it has bolle a their Dust Committee	, ,,,,,,,
ſ		
•		,
		,
1		,
1		
,		
ř		
-		
3		
_		
1		
/		4



- 9.1d Approve Staff Recommendations for Expulsion #1, 2015-16 (Lisa Allen and Stephan Brown)
- 9.1e Approve Resolution No. 2863: Recognition of November 2015 as California Sikh American Awareness and Appreciation Month (Darrel Woo)



back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding mayor from black orants that allowed for flexibility. Under ESSA, states will still be required to test students		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding	,	
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding	··	
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding	e.A	
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		i
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding	i	
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		i
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
back to the states and local districts while still maintaining some federal oversight. One of the most controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		r.
controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
controversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on the results from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted funding		
	ontroversial aspects of NCLB was the creation of a national system that judged schools based solely on t Esults from one test and required schools to raise test scores or face escalating penalties. It shifted fundi	ing

Member Woo said he will be working h	ard as a member of the Board of Directors for CSBA to lobby
,	
	,
1	
-	
. 1.1. 20071 1 COD (1	1 L1 L L L L
, I	
/ a H a · · · · · ·	

Service and the service and th	
*	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1 4	
'(
) 	
7 .	
I_{\cdot}	
	φΔ.
· 	
-	
· ·	
<i>I</i> —	
groups are moving into the space. In exchange, they will be giving the Distric just crafted a Studios Director job description. This means capacity to deliver income schools that have had their arts programs decimated. Lastly, she than	educational benefits to low-


Food Literacy Center for successfully working together to secure a USDA grant in the amount of \$100,000.

the bond to receive Core Academic renovation. She is not sure if they are scheduled for 2017, but she will	!1
check the schedule. If the site decides, through meetings, that that is what they want to spend their Core Academic renovation funding on, then we will go in that direction. Member Rodriguez said that this is a	site
that is it die mond She noted other cites that have areast need. Hivam Johnson High School for example	
	1
	7
	4
	į
	į
\	
<u> </u>	4
\$- } -	
; B	
·	
	1
	Į.
<u></u>	
•	4
	4
	أر
And the state of t	
	7
	,
	7
	_
	í á
	= 1
	P
	A.
	4.

change out from T8 to T5 LE Member Rodriguez asked if t actually costs money to dispo	there is a recycle or re	metimes it wo bate progran	ould require chan n for the T8 bulbs	ging the ballast also Ms. Allen said the	o. at it
			1.11.11		
Vice President Ryan commer	nded all the students fo	or coming to 1	present		
Superintendent Banda also c				eir focus and enthu	ısiasm.
Superimenaem Banaa asso e	· c1	. <u>.</u>		1-11	
to the same of the					
-					
	<i>,</i> ——				
<i>}</i>					
<u> </u>					
•					
					,
				,	
<u> </u>					1
					
					P 2
,					
3					

11.4 Approve 2015-2016 First Interim Financial Report (Gerardo Castillo, CPA)

Chief Business Officer Gerardo Castillo explained that the goal of their presentation of the first interim financial report is to provide a brief budget update and comply with Education Code Section 42130. Mr. Castillo and Budget Director



1 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	7 7
The second secon	
	•
), ⁵	
	4
ξ _λ	
ħ	
	·
	4
f ,	
	-,
	1.
	Ι.
	2
	1
	/
	<i>N</i>
	1.
h 	f
	ı
<i>γ</i>	ž.
	A.
	1 🕊
1.	
	,
r. /	
	-
	i
	1
	Ä
	-
	•
A	4
	1
	4
	-
	_
·	
	1. 1.11.
the pegative overnight. Mr. Castillo answered that the county and the state do not include these	tiabilities in
	•
	4
	_
	4
	,
	*

...

T. 1

, ,

11.5 Approve 2016-2017 Traditional School Attendance Calendar (Cancy McArn) Conference/Action Chief Human Resources Officer Cancy McArn and Employee Relations Director Cindy Nguyen presented the Item. They asked for Board approval on the 2016-2017 school attendance calendar. It is similar to the current school year calendar allows for a full summer to support the implementation of class size reduction of 24

